

In case you missed the EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK, some rules on human beings:

1.) suggesting a person is mentally ill by illustration of a "DISABLED PERSON" to disbar a political RIGHT OF SUFFRAGE by portraying that person as helpless, unfit, or crippled - is a felony (18 USC 241, 42 USC 12203)

2.) demonstrating an inability to talk about concepts "objectively" like behaviors and possible causes, no matter how much you "identify with that emotionally" or are "suddenly emotionally unhappy" over that clarity of observed relationship between behaviors and conditions, is a confession of a mental and emotional dysfunction, whereby you convert the conversation about a subject into a conversation "about you" for which you then act as if a direct assault on your person and rights were underway to question those "objective issues" or other people making observations about issues, not you as a separate private person.

3.) The ego disorder is associated with early childhood trauma, whereby young boys most often - but women also - are overly identified with their mother, who at such time is portraying other people and public issues as though personal to her in an irrational manner to excuse child abuse against minors in her care. This conduct is exceptionally common in low-income single parent families where the father is absent; normalizing this "narcissistic codependency" and "conversion disorder" as ordinary to the child. This confusion carries the behavior into young adulthood (18-30) where the child is reinforced by peers who share this "emotional disorder".

4.) These children often have difficulty with critical thinking, rational communication, complex topics, and wrongly equate their "attention span" and "emotional engagement" to the duration of ordinary communication; by which they "invalidate" any argument or extended academic issue beyond their individual attention span, and "over-assert" their emotional will as if an argument against others, often resorting to basic elements like 'threats', 'fear' and 'shaming' to coerce people.

5.) This conduct is wrongly and falsely styled as "persuasion", when in fact it is "modelling behavior" of dysfunctional female parents; and conduct that holds over a superiority based on gender and race and identity and sanguinity (blood relationship) which have NO ORDINARY PLACE IN LAW OR GOVERNMENT as platforms upon which to make policy or rule.

6.) Confronted with such rule, the child then internalizes this as "fascism" or similar "rule based tyranny", converting the obstacles made in their way with "malicious emotional intent", not a real thing, and a "delusion".

7.) From which they invent a fantasy world that incorporates their identity and endorsement of others as a value proposition not factual, from which they build an identity (ego) that is inter-dependent on others.

8.) To protect this fragile "codependent ego" they will harm others and use force, wrongly equating any effort to dismantle the constructed identity and its virtue claims wrongly as "direct physical attacks on their person and identity".

9.) Military drill sergeants yell at you in order to see which candidates have this disorder, and to identify which candidates can perform their duty despite abuse directed against a member of the group without resentment. These are vital skills in military service as torture and coercion techniques to divulge information depend on these strategies which soldiers will be exposed to repeatedly during combat and possible capture.

10.) The use of such claims, or age or health conditions themed related disability or physical hardship, are therefore "not permissible" in civil procedure among adults, but reliance on these to argue a point is a strong indicator of "unsound mind" despite other compos mentis performance, in personality disorders broadly admitted in our low-skilled workforce today.

11.) Identification of this dysfunction is not a guarantee made by the prohibition on such claims to argue logical points, as the communication method and limitations (boundaries) are central to orderly discussion and civil conduct in all areas of life.

12.) Persons trained in the ARMY standard, admit the "legal person" to their dialog by name, not by race, physical traits, injuries, disability, or any other context; an equal party.

13.) Persons trained in the PROGRESSIVE standard, instead resort to the physical elements to define unequal standing, and argue for and against relief initially and primarily on outward appearance related to "utility" and "value" in society - such as hair or age or popularity or earnings... which are "CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY" values and virtue systems.

14.) Anyone employing those will be banned from our employment and contact severed, as unfit for professional service, duty, or employment; by policy of all firms I work with.

15.) This is not optional, and persistence will be themed "hate crimes" and in use of any depiction of death or bodily harm or call for such cause or to disable in order to submit such persons to threat or risk of those; shall be terminated immediately.

That is all.

